Lisbon Assessment Methodology

Meeting of the Employment Committee

November, 27 2008

Lorenzo Codogno

President of the Lisbon Methodology Working Group, EPC Director General for Economic and Financial Analysis and Planning, Italy's Ministry of the Economy and Finance

The EPC Lisbon Methodology Working Group (LIME)

■ In September 2006, the EPC considered that:

"The main weakness of the Lisbon Strategy in the past has been the gap in the delivery of reforms. Both the scale of structural reforms and the speed with which they have been implemented have been insufficient to meet the Lisbon challenge. It is therefore a clear priority to devise a well-focused method to allow for better monitoring of actions and results. Both quantitative and qualitative methods have a role to play".

The EPC LIME was created in October 2006 to "drive forward the development of methodological approaches to track, analyse and model structural reforms carried out in the context of the Lisbon strategy" and benefited since from close co-operation with the Commission and the contribution of the ECB and the OECD.

The methodological framework: a three pronged approach

- The LIME has focused on three main methodological strands for evaluating progress against the Lisbon agenda:
 - □ Tracking progress with structural reforms
 - □ Analysing the impact of structural reforms on employment and growth (LAF)
 - Modelling issues in assessing structural reforms

Tracking progress with structural reforms

- Reporting tables were submitted by Member States along with their National Implementation Reports in mid October:
 - Web-based application.
 - □ Allow for timely and comparable analysis of progress with structural reforms by standardising the information content.
 - □ Provide information for analysis.
 - □ Feed into existing Commission's databases (LABREF, MICREF).
 - Link reform measures to challenges, IGs, CSRs, PTWs and Euro Area Recommendations.

Structure of the reporting table

- Three building blocks:
 - A description module: content, rationale and timing of reform measures.
 - □ A classification module: against integrated guidelines, key challenges, country specific and EU/Euro Area recommendations, points to watch.
 - □ An impact and follow up module (optional): national evaluation procedures, direct budget impact, structural indicators, LAF policy areas.

Lisbon Assessment Framework (LAF)

Systematic approach to analysing the areas where MSs underperform and thus highlighting where further structural reforms may be needed.

The Lisbon Assessment Framework (LAF)

ANALYSIS

GDP

Analyses of 12 GDP components in level and changes

Policy Performance

Evidence-based analysis of 20 policy areas affecting GDP. Indicator-based assessment which is then qualified with countryspecific information

Screening

Examines links
(identified in the
literature survey)
between performance
in policy areas and
relevant GDP
components

OUTPUT

Relative performance (+ = -) of GDP components

Relative performance (+ = -) of policy areas

Underperforming policy areas qualified with links to GDP components

Value added of the LAF

- Provides an analytical framework for examining performance in a number of important policy areas.
- May be a useful input as Member States update key challenges for the 2008-10 Lisbon cycle and for policy making in general.
- Offers to MSs and the Commission a basis for a structured dialogue in the context of multilateral surveillance and increases transparency.
- Could also be used for broader analysis, e.g. focus on overperforming policy areas or mismatches, help with the identification of best practices.

Caveats and limitations

- Usual caveats associated with growth accounting.
- Data and theoretical limitations in a number of policy areas.
- Inevitable time lags.
- Screening exercise provides no indication of causality, only additional considerations when assessing growth priorities.
- Does not cover all Lisbon areas and dimensions.

Performance of GDP components

Non euro area countries	BU	CZ	DK	EE	HU	LT	LV	PL	RO	SE	SK	UK	EU27 (+)	EU27 (=)	EU27 (-)
Fertility (level) / Native Population (growth)	-	-	+	=	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	+	7	4	16
Share of foreign population (level) / Net Migration (growt	-	-	-	=	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	=	8	7	12
Share of Working age Population	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	=	19	2	6
Youth Participation	-	-	+	-	-	-	=	-	-	=	-	+	6	6	15
25-54 Male Participation	-	+	=	Ξ	-	-	-	-	-	=	+	Ξ	11	7	9
25-54 Female Participation	=	+	+	+	-	+	+	=	-	+	+	Ξ	14	6	7
55-64 Participation	=	+	+	+	-	+	ŧ	-	-	+	-	+	11	4	12
Unemployment Rate	=	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	Ξ	=	-	+	14	6	7
Averzge Hours Worked	+	+	=	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	Ξ	17	6	4
Capital Deepening	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	-	-	6	4	17
Total Factor Productivity	-	-	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	=	-	-	4	4	19
Initial education of labour (Labour quality)	=	Ξ	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	Ξ	+	12	8	7
Total (+)	2	6	6	6	3	6	6	3	2	4	4	5	129		
Total (=)	4	1	3	3	0	0	2	1	1	5	1	5		64	
Total (-)	6	5	3	3	9	6	4	8	9	3	7	2			131

More weight to country-specific expertise in the main report

GDP components		tor-based essment	Country-special	Assessment		
	Level	Growth	Level	Growth	Level	Growth
Demographic components	1	-2	too positive	too positive	-	-
Fertility rate (level) / Native Population (growth)	-9	-11	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	-	-
Share of foreign population (level) / Net Migration (growth)	-18	-8	broadly accurate	too negative	-	0
Share of Working age Population	30	16	too positive	too positive	+	+
Labour market components	24	-17	broadly accurate	too negative	0	0
Youth Participation	-13	-30	too negative	too negative	0	0
25-54 Male Participation	17	7	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	+	+
25-54 Female Participation	7	-10	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	+	-
55-64 Participation	-1	10	too positive	broadly accurate	-	+
Unemployment Rate	3	8	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	+	+
Average Hours Worked	24	-12	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	+	0
Labour productivity components	-29	30	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	-	+
Capital Deepening	-30	30	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	-	+
Total Factor Productivity	-30	23	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	-	+
Initial education of labour (Labour quality)	1	0	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	0	0
GDP per capita (level) /GDP (growth)	-24	23	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	-	+

Quality of the coverage by indicators

	Quality of the coverage by inidcators	Reasons					
	Labo	ur market					
Active labour market policies	Partial	Indicators do not capture the efficiency of public spending and the quality of the micro design of institutions					
Making work-pay: interplay of tax and benefit system	Broad						
Labour taxation to stimulate labour demand	Broad						
Job protection and labour market segmentation/dualisation	Partial	Lack of policy indicators: EPL indicators excluded for lack o timeliness. The segmentation dimension better captured than Job protection					
Working time organisation	Broad						
Specific labour supply measures for women	Broad						
Specific labour supply measures for older-workers	Broad						
Wage bargaining and wage- setting policies	Partial	Lack of reliable and timely indicators on important dimension of wage-setting institutions (bargaining coverage, unionisation)					
Immigration and integration policies	Broad	It has been supplemented by many new indicators on education and labour market participation of the migrant. No policy instrument indicator available. It has been					
Labour market mismatch and labour mobility	Partial	supplemented by many new indicators: vacancies, sector employment reallocation indicators and variance of relative unemployment rate by occupation.					
Product and capital market regulation	ns						
Competition policy framework	Narrow	Lack of indicators (only 3, of which one debatable on relative prices). PMR indicators from OECD are excluded for a lack of timeliness.					
Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy, retail distribution, professional services) Business environment –	Narrow	Three variables in the narrow list, covering two sectors only energy and telecom. Some important sectors (services and retain trades) are not covered in the narrow list for timeliness reasons. The reliability of some of the World Bank Doing Busines:					
Regulatory barriers to entrepreneurship	Partial	indicators needs to be further explored. Also, no indicators or administrative burdens exist.					
Business Dynamics - Start-up conditions	Partial	The reliability of some of the World Bank Doing Busines indicators needs to be further explored.					
Financial markets and access to finance	Partial	Indicators are very heterogeneous, as they covered very different markets with different issues.					
Market integration - Openness to trade and investment	Broad						
Innovation and knowledge							
R&D, innovation policies and ICT	Partial	Governance issues are not covered by indicators.					
Education and life long learning	Broad						
Macroeconomy Orientation and sustainability of public finances Macroeconomic background information	Broad	It has been supplemented by many new indicators or sustainability and ageing projections. Covered most important short-term macroeconomic indicators But given their heterogeneity, no aggregate is computed.					

Compromise choice of indicators

- Narrow list extended based on comments of LIME and EMCO.
 - reinstated several relevant indicators in the narrow list by splitting the weight.
 - extra indicators collected in some policy areas (labour mobility; wage setting; immigration & integration; fiscal sustainability).
 - **□** outdated OECD indicators excluded from the narrow list.

Possible technical changes to LAF: Indicators

- Relabelling some policy areas.
 - "Working time organisation" into "Policies increasing hours worked" & "Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy, retail distribution, professional services)" into "Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy)". "Labour mobility" relabelled into "Labour market matching and labour mobility.
- Use the relative unemployment rate of specific groups instead of the absolute unemployment rate.
- Automating choice of alternative benchmarks in the maquette (EU27, five best values, EU5, the Lisbon target).

Possible technical changes to LAF: Indicators

- Further changes suggested by EMCO on labour market areas.
 - □ Using 'Regular activation in training' instead of "LLL for unemployed" (when available); Including 'Recent immigrants' in Labour mobility; Considering using the Eurostat data for 'early retirement schemes' instead of OECD series; Adding "Making work pay indicators" for couple with children in addition to data on single people (and splitting the weights).

Possible technical changes to LAFs: Indicators

- Better grouping of indicators by sub-policies: financial market and access to finance; R&D, innovation & ICT.
- Removing some World Bank Doing-Business indicators.
 - □ replace the 4 indicators on starting a business from the World Bank (time and cost) by the two indicators from DG ENTR on time and cost to start a business (data used to assess the compliance with the objectives set by the 2006 Spring Council conclusions for start-up procedures).
 - □ not removed altogether by principle but on case-by-case basis and for good reasons.

More weight to country-specific expertise in the main report

		ntor-based essment	Country-speci	Assessment of policy area		
Policy areas – Aggregate scores for CZ	Level	Change	Level	Change	Level	Change
Labour market		_				
Active labour market policies	-9	0	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	_	0
Making work-pay: interplay of tax and benefit system			-	-		
	5	14	too positive	too positive	_	0
Labour taxation to stimulate labour demand	-1	-3	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	0	0
Job protection and labour market segmentation/dualisation			-	-		
	12	1	too positive	broadly accurate	_	0
Working time organisation	2	2	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	+	+
Specific labour supply measures for women	-7	-7	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	_	_
Specific labour supply measures for older-workers	1	1	too positive	broadly accurate	_	0
Wage bargaining and wage-setting policies	-4	-6	too negative	too negative	O	0
Immigration and integration policies	-1	-12	broadly accurate	too negative	0	0
Labour market mismatch and labour mobility	-15	-18	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	-	-
Product and capital market regulations					!	
Competition policy framework	8	-30	too positive	too negative	_	0
Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy, retail			F			
distribution, professional services)	0	-13	too positive	too negative	_	0
Business environment - Regulatory barriers to			-			
entrepreneurship	-8	-5	broadly accurate	too negative	-	0
Business Dynamics - Start-up conditions	-10	0	broadly accurate	too negative	-	+
Financial markets and access to finance	1	10	too positive	too positive	0	0
Market integration - Openness to trade and investment	2	2	too negative	broadly accurate	+	0
Innovation and knowledge						
R&D, innovation policies and ICT	-4	18	too positive	too positive	_	+
Education and life long learning	-1	-2	too positive	broadly accurate	-	0
Macroeconomy						
Orientation and sustainability of public finances	-9	-15	broadly accurate	broadly accurate	_	_
Macroeconomic background information	Nota	pplicable	-	-		

Adjusting the assessment (vs indicator-based, level terms)

			Reason for o	verturning		Downgrading	;	Upgrading		
	NT 6									
	No of overturingings	Growth	Statistical	Country specific	Total	(+) to (=)	(=) to (-)	Total	(-) to (=)	(=) to (+)
Total	85	8	28	49	60	24	36	25	20	5
%of total overturnings	100%	9%	33%	58%	71%	28%	42%	29%	24%	6%
Labour markets	40				29			11		
Product markets	22				10			12		
Innovation and knowledge	15				<i>15</i>			0		
Orientation and sustainability of public finances	8				6			2		
Active labour market policies	2		2		1		1	1	1	
Making work-pay: interplay of tax and benefit										
system	4	1	3		3		3	1	1	
Labour taxation to stimulate labour demand	1			1	1	1		0		
Job protection and labour market										
segmentation/dualisation	6		1	5	6	5	1	0		
Policies increasing working time	0				0			0		
Specific labour supply measures for women	4			4	3		3	1		1
Specific labour supply measures for older-workers	1		1		1		1	0		
Wage bargaining and wage-setting policies	6		3	3	1	1		5	5	
Immigration and integration policies	9	2	3	4	8	5	3	1	1	
Labour market mismatch and labour mobility	7	1	2	4	5	2	3	2	2	
Competition policy framework	7		5	2	3	1	2	4	4	
Sector specific regulation (telecom, energy)	7		4	3	6	2	4	1	1	
Business environment - Regulatory barriers to										
entrepreneurship	2		1	1	0			2	1	1
Business Dynamics - Start-up conditions	1		1		0			1	1	
Financial markets and access to finance	4			4	1		1	3	2	1
Market integration - Openness to trade and										
investment	1			1	0			1		1
R&D and Innovation	4	3		1	4	1	3	0		
ict	0				0			0		
Education and life long learning	11			11	11	5	6	0		
Orientation and sustainability of public finances	8	1	2	5	6	1	5	2	1	1

Table 1 Screening of coincidence between underperformance in policy areas and relevant GDP components in the LAF case studies Labour Productivity Demographic components Labour market components Share of Initial Fertility. foreign Share of Working 25-54 Male Youth 55-64 Unemployment Capital Total Factor Hours Native (level) / Female of labour age Participation **Participation Participation** Rate Deepening Productivity Population Net **Participation** Worked (Labour Population (growth) Migration quality) (growth) active labour market policies faking work-pay: interplay of tax X X nd benefit system GDP components abour thation to sting the labour identified as emand ob protection and Moour market underperforming (-) X are highlighted in bold Policy areas identified as underperforming (-) are highlighted in bold coincidence of Vage bargaining and wage setting underperformance policy area and relevant mmigration and integration policies GDP component is indicated abour market mismatch and labour nohitay bv"x" impetition policy framework ector specific regulation (telecom, nergy) The links in the economic usiness environment - Regulatory literature between policy areas arriers to entrepreneurship iusiness Dynamics - Start-up and relevant GDP component anditions are represented by the shaded inancial markets and access to finance cells farket integration - Openness to trade nd investment &D and Innovation \mathbf{CT} Iducation and life long learning Drientation and sustainability of ublic finances

Modelling of structural reforms

- Modelling forum: Platform for national experts and the Commission to undertake a model comparison exercise and exchange experience and best practises.
- Objectives: Mutual learning and greater transparency on available modelling tools and their use to assess the impact of full structural reforms.
- Main issues tackled: standard reform shocks as well as specific reform shocks such as R&D, administrative burdens, migration and venture capital.

Spillovers

- In the context of the modelling exercise spillovers and complementarities were also considered.
- Central aspect of the Lisbon agenda, but still significant uncertainties as to the quantification/analysis of effects.
- Considered Quest III and WorldScan simulations.

Main areas for further work

- As a matter of priority, developing transparent analytical frameworks to evaluate progress with structural reforms at both national and EU level, where appropriate building on LAF.
- Developing analytical approaches that can be used to quantify the macroeconomic implications of microeconomic reforms, building upon the work on the modelling and drawing on the other workstreams of LIME, notably: (1) estimating the impact of reforms on macroeconomic variables ('toolbox'), and (2) identifying the main microeconomic features underlying successful and unsuccessful reforms.